

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/656,087 09/05/2003		9/05/2003	James Copland Moyer	38616-8009US	9932	
25096	7590	05/16/2005		EXAMINER		
PERKINS (	COIE LLE		CHOE, HENRY			
PATENT-SI P.O. BOX 12			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,		1-1247	2817			
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)					
		10/656,087		MOYER, JAMES COPLAND					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Henry K. Choe		2817					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a preprior of the provision of the	I. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory m id will apply and will expire ute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days s SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
Status									
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers		,						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b)  one drawing(s) be helection is required if the	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) X Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date 2/7/05.	4) [ 08) 5) [ 6) [	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:		<sup>-</sup> O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,087

Art Unit: 2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler et al (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 6, Butler et al (Fig. 2) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising an input stage (J1, J2) including two transistors, and an amplification stage (2) which receives a signal (the signals coming out of the drains of J1 and J2) from the input stage (J1, J2) and provides an output signal (output of 2) related to the signal (the signals coming out of the drains of J1 and J2), and wherein the threshold voltage implants of the input stage transistors (J1, J2) produce controlled offset voltage at an output (output of 2) of the amplification stage (2) and the two transistors (J1 and J2) operating at substantially the same current (I1). It should be noted that the two input transistors J1 and J2 of Butler et al share the same tail current I1 as same manner as the claimed invention. As described above, Butler et al (Fig. 2) discloses all the limitations in the claims except for that the two transistors having different threshold voltage implants. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the specific threshold voltage of the transistors, since they are based on the routine experimentation to obtain the optimum operating parameters.

Regarding claim 3, the two transistors of the input stage (J1, J2) are two source coupled transistors.

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 7, the two transistors of the input stage (J1, J2) are the p-channel MOS transistors (see column 1, lines 58-62).

Art Unit: 2817

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

HENRY CHOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER